



**Qwest**  
607 14<sup>th</sup> Street, NW, Suite 950  
Washington, DC 20005  
Phone 303-383-6651  
Facsimile 303-896-1107

**Kathryn Marie Krause**  
Associate General Counsel

Via ECFS

January 15, 2009

Ms. Marlene H. Dortch  
Office of the Secretary  
Federal Communications Commission  
445 12th Street, S.W.  
Washington, DC 20554

Re: Qwest Wireless' Hearing Aid Compatibility Report (Form 655),  
WT Docket No. 07-250

Dear Ms. Dortch:

Attached is Qwest Wireless' Hearing Aid Compatibility ("HAC") Report (Form 655). The Report contains substantive information only for the period July 1, 2008 to July 28, 2008 (as opposed to the end of the year 2008). On July 28th of last year, Qwest Wireless ceased marketing its own commercial mobile radio service ("CMRS"); and all handset offerings ceased at that time. From that date, through the end of 2008, Qwest Wireless offered no handsets to customers on a commercial basis; and thus took on a *de minimis* status for purposes of the Federal Communications Commission's ("Commission") rules.<sup>1</sup>

As of July 28, 2008, Qwest Wireless became an authorized sales agent for Verizon Wireless CMRS service. The handsets associated with that service are all provided by Verizon Wireless, which will be addressing those handsets in its own filed report.

Please contact the undersigned if you have any questions.

Sincerely,

/s/ Kathryn Marie Krause

Attachment

cc: Weiren Wang ([weiren.wang@fcc.gov](mailto:weiren.wang@fcc.gov))  
Best Copy and Printing, Inc. ([fcc@bcpiweb.com](mailto:fcc@bcpiweb.com))

---

<sup>1</sup> The *de minimis* exception provides that service providers that offer two or fewer digital wireless handset models per air interface are exempt from the Commission's HAC requirements. 47 C.F.R. § 20.19(e).

## Voluntary Form for Hearing Aid Compatibility Status Report

Reporting Period (MM/DD/YY) \_\_07/01/08\_\_ to (MM/DD/YY) \_\_12/31/08\_\_

<b>Section 1. Company Information</b>	<i>Service Provider</i> <input checked="checked" type="checkbox"/>	<i>Device Manufacturer</i> <input type="checkbox"/>
<i>Company Name:</i> Qwest Wireless, LLC		
<i>Company Address:</i> 1801 California St.		
<i>City:</i> Denver	<i>State:</i> CO	<i>Zip Code:</i> 80202
<i>Phone:</i> 303-308-5426	<i>Fax:</i>	<i>E-mail:</i> ariel.mizrahi@qwest.com
<i>Filing Agent / Law Firm:</i>		
<i>Filing Agent Contact Name:</i>		
<i>Filing Agent Address:</i>		
<i>City:</i>	<i>State:</i>	<i>Zip Code:</i>
<i>Phone:</i>	<i>Fax:</i>	<i>E-mail:</i>

<b>Section 2. Acoustic and Inductive Coupling-Compatible Handset Models (Rated At Least M3 and T3)</b>								
Index	Handset Maker	Model Name(s)	FCC ID(s)	Starting Available Date (MM/YY)	Ending Available Date (MM/YY)	Air Interface Technology(ies) (GSM,CDMA,WCDMA, etc)	Operating Frequency Bands (800, 1900, 2100, etc)	ANSI Standard C63.19 version number (manufacturer only)
2-1	Kyocera	K132	OVFKWC-K27	11/07	07/08	CDMA	800, 1900	
2-2	Sanyo	SCP-7050	AEZSCP-7050	08/07	07/08	CDMA	800, 1900	
2-3	Sanyo	SCP-3200	AEZSCP-32H	06/07	07/08	CDMA	800, 1900	

<b>Section 2 --- Continued</b>									
Index	M-Rating (M3, M4)	M-Rating Certification Date (MM/DD/YY)(manufacturer only)	M-Rating Testing Lab (manufacturer only)	T-Rating (T3, T4)	T-Rating Certification Date (MM/DD/YY)(manufacturer only)	T-Rating Testing Lab (manufacturer only)	Wi-Fi Interface (Yes / No)	Functionality Level (service provider only)	Remark
2-1	M3			T4			No	Good	
2-2	M4			T4			No	Better	
2-3	M4			T4			No	Better	

<b>Section 3. Acoustic Coupling-Compatible Handset Models (Rated At Least M3 But Not T3)</b>								
Index	Handset Maker	Model Name(s)	FCC ID(s)	Starting Available Date (MM/YY)	Ending Available Date (MM/YY)	Air Interface Technology (GSM,CDMA,WCD MA, etc)	Operating Frequency Bands (800, 1900, 2100, etc)	ANSI Standard C63.19 version number (manufacturer only)

<b>Section 3 --- Continued</b>						
Index	M-Rating (M3, M4)	M-Rating Certification Date (MM/DD/YY)(manufactu rer only)	M-Rating Testing Lab (manufacturer only)	Wi-Fi Interface (Yes / No)	Functionality Level (service provider only)	Remark

#### Section 4. Non-Hearing Aid-Compatible Handset Models (Rated Neither M3 Nor T3)

Index	Handset Maker	Model Name(s)	FCC ID(s)	Starting Available Date (MM/YY)	Ending Available Date (MM/YY)	Air Interface Technology (GSM,CDMA, WCDMA, etc)	Operating Frequency (700, 800, 1900, 2100, etc)	Wi-Fi Interface (Yes / No)	Functionality Level (service provider only)	Remark
4-1	Sanyo	S1	AEZSCP-25H	11/07	07/08	CDMA	850,1900	No	Good	

#### Section 5. Total Acoustic and Inductive Coupling-Compatible, Acoustic Coupling-Compatible, and Non-Hearing Aid-Compatible Handset Models By Air Interface Technology

Air Interface Technology (GSM,CDMA,WCDMA, etc)	Number of Fully Hearing Aid-Compatible Handset Models	Number of Acoustic Coupling-Compatible Handset Models	Number of Non-Hearing Aid-Compatible Handset Models	Remark
CDMA	3	0	1	Qwest Wireless was fully compliant with the rules for the 07/08 period during which it offered wireless handsets. Qwest Wireless was <i>de minimis</i> for the 08/08-12/08 period and remains so today.

<b>Section 6. Handset Models Tested Since Last Report (Manufacturer Only)</b>					
Index	Handset Maker	Model Name(s)	FCC ID(s)	Air Interface Technology(ies) (GSM,CDMA, WCDMA, etc)	Operating Frequency Bands (800, 1900, 2100, etc)

<b>Section 6 --- Continued</b>							
Index	M-Rating (M1-M4.)	M-Rating Testing Date (MM/DD/YY)	M-Rating Testing Lab (manufacturer only)	T-Rating (T1-T4, N/A)	T-Rating Testing Date (MM/DD/YY)	T-rating Testing Lab (manufacturer only)	Remark

## Section 7. Product Labeling Information:

Do all hearing aid-compatible handsets include labeling?

Yes ☐ No ☒

**If no, please explain.** This question is Not Applicable (N/A) to Qwest Wireless since it is *de minimis* and, therefore, exempt from the rules. For the Commission's information, from July 1, 2008 to July 28, 2008 all Qwest Wireless' HAC-certified models were appropriately labeled and packaged.

Do all hearing aid-compatible handsets with the Wi-Fi air interface have clear and effective disclosure that the handset has not been tested for hearing aid compatibility with respect to its Wi-Fi voice operation?

Yes ☐ No ☒

**If no, please explain.** This question is Not Applicable (N/A) to Qwest Wireless since it is *de minimis* and, therefore, exempt from the rules; and in any event the applicable rule did not become effective until December 2008, long after Qwest Wireless ceased handset offerings. For the Commission's information, however, Qwest Wireless had no Wi-Fi voice handsets available from July 1 to July 28, 2008.

## Section 8. Public Website:

Does your company maintain a public website describing all hearing aid-compatible models, the ratings of those models, and an explanation of the rating system?

Yes ☐ No ☒

If yes, please provide the address for the public website.

If no, please explain. This question is Not Applicable (N/A) to Qwest Wireless since it is *de minimis* and, therefore, exempt from the rules; and in any event the relevant rule did not become effective until January 15, 2009, long after Qwest Wireless ceased handset offerings. For the Commission's information, when Qwest Wireless was offering service to the public (from July 1, 2008 to July 28, 2008), handset rating information was provided on the Qwest corporate website both on the disability page and on the Qwest.com web site under "wireless phones."

**Section 9. Describe Consumer Outreach Efforts in the Past 12 Months:**

This question is Not Applicable (N/A) to Qwest Wireless since it is *de minimis* and, therefore, exempt from the rules. For the Commission's information, when Qwest Wireless was offering CMRS to the public (until 7/28/2008) it communicated HAC information to its customers in a variety of ways, including posting HAC ratings on Qwest's corporate website; incorporating similar rating information in written material targeted to consumers; training sales personnel on the HAC ratings of the handsets so that information could be shared with customers as appropriate; and communicating rating information to consumer and other advocacy groups.



**Section 10. (Service Providers Only) Describe the Levels of Functionality into Which the Compliant Handsets Fall and Provide An Explanation of the Service Provider's Methodology for Determining Levels of Functionality:**

**Good:** Basic voice and text communications

**Better:** "Good" + basic web browsing

**Best:** "Better" + cutting-edge design and features (ultra-thin, multimedia capable, rugged, etc)

**Smartphone:** Productivity device, e-mail access, more robust Web browsing experience